United States Bankruptcy Court	
Eastern District Of New York	
	X
In re Eric H. Richmond, Debtor	Case No. 1-14-41678-cec
	Chapter 13
	NOTICE OF AMENDED CIVIL COVER
	SHEET AND AMENDED NOTICE OF
	APPEAL
	•

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE of the enclosed:

# AMENDED CIVIL COVER SHEET AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

Both are related to ECF #323 of Case # 14-41678 (cec).

Note that the only change is the replacement of Appellees in the AMENDED CIVIL COVER SHEET and the Party #1 in the AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL.

DATED 500. 3,7015

ERIC RICHMOND DEBTOR, PRO SE

To:

Michael Macco - Trustee 135 Pinelawn Road, #120 South Melville, NY 11747

Jordan S. Katz
Attorneys for Select Portfolio Servicing
395 N. Service Road
Melville, NY 11747

RECEIVED

US BANKRUPTCY COURT EASIFRH DISTRICT OF HEW YORK

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

AMENDED CIVIL COVER SHEET ( & EEF # 323)

This form is RE	QUIRED for the use of the Cler	k of Court for	r the purpose of ini	itiating
the civil dock et sheet. IN	RE: Bankruptcy Case No. <u>14-</u> 4	41678(cec)		Ad v.
Pro . No . (if applicable)_			···	
	Bankruptcy Ap	peal		
APPELLANTS			APPELLEES	
Eric H. Richmond				AL Associa O Servici
Brooklyn NY 11215 (646) 256 – 9613 BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	A M E, ADDRESS, T EL. NO.)  J Att ON: Federal Question 395 MEL  28:1 334 Bankruptcy Appeal (W	N. SERV	ICE RO. SERV	PORTFOLIO ICING *SUITE 40 (631) 454-810
The Bankruptcy court ignore	d several clear errors and manifes	t injustices pro	esented in motion to	reconsider.
In addition, the Bankruptcy	court used a boiler plate denial of a e dismissive way so as to appear to	motion to reco	onsider without actu	ally addressing
In summation, the court faile	d to actually address most of App	ellants argume	ents.	
This failure to address anyth before the courts.	ing in the motion to reconsider co	ompels the Del	btor to appeal to get	any redress
NATURE OF SUIT: 422 Appeal (801) RELATED DISTRICT COURT, IF A	CASE(S) IN			
DISTRICT JUDGE	E	OCKET NU	MBER	
	MED RELATED IF PENDING			
	DED IN AN EARL IER NUM			
	ACT OR GROWS OUT OF TH		<i>(</i>	D A DELA PE
Date: 9(4) 215 Signs FOR COURT USE ONLY	RINGEMENT OF THE SAME ture of Attorney of Record:	En la	for A	(ADEMAKK lppellant Pro Se]
APPLYING IFP		MAG.	JUDGE	

CIVIL COVER SHEET, Bankruptcy Appeal (cont'd)			
Did the cause of action arise in Nassau or Suffolk County? NO			
If YES, please indicate wh	hich county:		
I am currently admitted in	the Eastern District of New Yo	ork and currently a member in good	
standing of the bar of this	court. YES	<u>NO - X</u>	
Are you currently the subj	ect of any disciplinary action(s	s) in this or any other state or federal court?	
YES (If yes, please ex	rplain) <u>NO - X</u>	•	
first and last name and th	e last four digits of your socia with the Clerk of Court. This	w. Your bar code consists of the initials of your l security number, or any other four-digit number information must be p rovided pursuant to loca l	
Attorney Bar Code: NON	<u>ve</u>		
E-M ail Addre ss: brookly	vnlyceum@gmail.com	<del></del>	

	Case 1-14-	41678-cec	Doc 351	Filed 09/03/15	Entered 09/08/15 16:13:21	
Official	Form 17A (12/14) UNITED STATES E EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF NEW	YORK			
	In Re Eric H.		<del>-</del> ,	_	Chapter 13	
		Debtor		·	No. 14-41678 (cec)	
	[Caption as AMENOED No Part 1: Identify the	s in Form 16. OTICE OF A	A, 16B, or I PPEAL AN	16D, as appropriat ID STATEMENT C	e] of ELECTION	
		H. Richmond				
	2. Position of the subject	appellant(s) of this appe	in the adval:		or bankruptcy case that is	
	proceeding ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendar			X Debtor Creditor	n a bankruptcy case and not ary proceeding.	
	ORDER DENYING	he judgment OBJECTIO	, order, or o	decree appealed fi M	ree was entered:July 21,	
	Part 3: Identify List the names of names, addresses necessary):	all parties to	o the judgn	nent, order, or dec	ree appealed from and the ys (attach additional pages if	
	US BAI ASS SELECT SERV	NKMATIO OCIATIC PORTFO ICING	NAL N/	Attorneys 1 395 N. S. MELVILLE	S. KATZ FOR SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING ERVICE Rd. SUITE 4-01 E, NY 11747 /(631) 454-810	巧
	2. Party: <u>w</u>		≃ Attorn	ey: <u>Michael J. M</u> 135 Pinelawn l <u>Melville, NY 11</u> Phone: 631-549	<u>Road – Suite #120 South</u> 747	

Part 4: Optional election to have appeal heard by District Court (applicable only in certain districts)

Case 1-14-41678-cec Doc 351 Filed 09/03/15 Entered 09/08/15 16:13:21

#### Official Form 17A (12/14)

If a Bankruptcy Appellate Panel is available in this judicial district, the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel will hear this appeal unless, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(c)(1), a party elects to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court. If an appellant filing this notice wishes to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court, check below. Do not check the box if the appellant wishes the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel to hear the appeal.

☐ Appellant(s) elect to have the appeal heard by the United States District Court rather than by the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel.

Date: 913/1015

Part 5: Sign below

Signature of attorney for appellant(s) (or appellant(s) if not represented by an attorney)

Name, address, and telephone number of attorney (or appellant(s) if not represented by an attorney):

Eric Richmond, Pro Se 227 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11215 646 256 9613

Fee waiver notice: If appellant is a child support creditor or its representative and appellant has filed the form specified in § 304(g) of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1994, no fee is required.

Case 1-14-41678-cec Doc 351 Filed 09/03/15 Entered 09/08/15 16:13:21

Case 1-14-41678-cec Doc 290 Filed 08/07/15 Entered 08/07/15 14:52:56

UNITED STATES B	ANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF NEW YORK

In re:

Eric H. Richmond

Chapter 13

Debtor.

Case No.: 14-41678 (CEC)

### ORDER DENYING MOTION TO RECONSIDER OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2014, Eric H. Richmond (the "Debtor"), filed for relief pursuant to chapter 13 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"); and

WHEREAS, on August 12, 2014, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc. filed proof of claim #5 on behalf of U.S. National Bank ("U.S. Bank"), as trustee of Asset Backed Securities Corporation Home Equity Loan Trust, Series NC 2005-HE8, Asset Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series NC 2005-HE8, which was amended on August 25, 2014 (the "Proof of Claim"); and

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2014, the Debtor filed a motion objecting to the Proof of Claim (the "Motion") [ECF No. 146]; and

WHEREAS, on January 16, 2015, U.S. Bank filed opposition to the Motion [ECF No. 182]; and

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2015, the Debtor filed an affirmation in support of the Motion [ECF No. 191]; and

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2015 the Court held a hearing on the Motion; and

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2015, the Debtor filed an affirmation in support of the Motion [ECF No. 220]; and

WHEREAS, on April 16, 2015, U.S. Bank filed an affirmation in opposition to the Motion [ECF No. 224]; and

WHEREAS, on May 16, 2015, the Debtor filed an affirmation in support of the Motion [ECF No. 234]; and

WHEREAS, on July 21, 2015, the Court entered an order and decision denying the Motion (respectively, the "Order" and the "Decision") [ECF Nos. 266 and 269]; and

WHEREAS, on August 4, 2015, the Debtor filed a motion to reconsider (the "Motion to Reconsider) [ECF No. 272]; and

Case 1-14-41678-cec Doc 351 Filed 09/03/15 Entered 09/08/15 16:13:21

Case 1-14-41678-cec Doc 290 Filed 08/07/15 Entered 08/07/15 14:52:56

WHEREAS, Federal Rule 59, made applicable to this matter pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9023, permits a party to make a motion "to alter or amend a judgment." Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e); and

WHEREAS, the Second Circuit has held that "[t]he major grounds justifying reconsideration are an intervening change of controlling law, the availability of new evidence, or the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice." Virgin Atl. Airways, Ltd. v. Nat'l Mediation Bd., 956 F.2d 1245, 1255 (2d Cir. 1992); and

WHEREAS, under the "clear error" standard, relief is "appropriate only when a court overlooks 'controlling decisions or factual matters that were put before it on the underlying motion' and which, if examined, might reasonably have led to a different result." Corrines v. Am. Physicians Ins. Trust, 769 F. Supp. 2d 584, 593-94 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (quoting Eisemann v. Greene, 204 F.3d 393, 395 n.2 (2d Cir. 2000)); and

WHEREAS, "reconsideration will generally be denied unless the moving party can point to controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked – matters, in other words, that might reasonably be expected to alter the conclusion reached by the court." <u>Shrader v. CSX Transp., Inc.</u>, 70 F.3d 255, 257 (2d Cir. 1995); and

WHEREAS, the Debtor, in the Motion to Reconsider, fails to point to controlling decisions or factual matters that were put before the Court which were overlooked, and if examined, might reasonably have led to a different result; and

WHEREAS, the Court has considered the Debtor's arguments in the Motion to Reconsider and has determined that they are without merit, and that even if they fell within the proper grounds for a Rule 59(e) motion, which they do not, the Debtor's arguments would not alter the Court's reasoning or conclusion in the Decision;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS

ORDERED, that the Motion to Reconsider is denied.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York August 7, 2015



Carla E. Craig
United States Bankruptcy Judge

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 3, 2015, I served the foregoing

AMENDED CIVIL COVER SHEET AND AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

dated September 3, 2015 via the regular United States mail on
the following:

Michael Macco - Trustee 135 Pinelawn Road, #120 South Melville, NY 11747

Jordan S. Katz
Attorneys for Select Portfolio Servicing
395 N. Service Road
Melville, NY 11747

Dated September 3, 2015

By: Who ERIC RICHMOND

DEBTOR, PRO SE